Submission 34-1

Mrs Elizabeth Strang

1) Please confirm the evidence base document this comment relates to.

Policy STR1 The Development Strategy

To which part of the document listed in the question 1 above does this representation relate to?

2) Chapter and (if applicable) sub heading:

Policy STR1 The Development Strategy

3) Paragraph number or appendix:

points 1 and 7

4) Do you consider the evidence base document on which you are commenting, makes the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) (please tick or cross as appropriate):

Yes No

Legally Compliant Not Selected Selected

Sound Not Selected Selected

5) Please give details of why you consider the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) is not legally compliant or unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan, please also use this box to set out your comments. The text box will automatically expand if necessary.

I refer to site AL/RTW5 (Caenwood Farm) which, as TWBC themselves admitted, was not soundly or legally dealt with by them, in that proper notice was not given to those in the area of the inclusion of the site in the TWBC Plan, nor at the meeting when the plan was passed were many of the councillors themselves in possession of these facts.

Given that I see that TWBC wishes to promote "brownfield" sites (Para 1) and also, following Government guidelines, wishes only to develop *Grey Belt* sites, this would seem an ideal time to rectify this unsound decision above.

6) Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the Matter you have identified in question 5 above where this relates to legal compliance or soundness. You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. The text box will automatically expand if necessary.

I would like TWBC to remove the site AL/RTW5 from their local plan as it is not *Grey Belt*, its inclusion was not and is not *soundly compliant* and (Para 9) there are no <u>exceptional</u> <u>circumstances</u> whatsoever to justify removal of Green Belt status from the site

7) Please use this box for any other comments you wish to make. The text box will automatically expand if necessary.

I make this request because TWBC know full well they dealt with this site in an underhand way, and now, as the need for housing is being reassessed, and that the Government guidelines are that that Green Belt should be protected, I would ask them to make a morally correct, environmentally positive, , and overall enlightened decision

8) If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination hearing session when it takes place? (please tick or cross as appropriate)

No, I do not wish to participate at the examination hearing session