Comments on Section 7: Delivery and Monitoring

Comment

Email Address

Company / Organisation Friends of Woodbury Park Cemetery

Address
Tunbridge Wells

Event Name Pre-Submission Local Plan

Comment by Friends of Woodbury Park Cemetery

Comment ID PSLP 1566

Response Date 04/06/21 11:20

Consultation Point Section 7: Delivery and Monitoring (View)

Status Processed

Submission Type Email

Version 0.3

Data inputter to enter their initials here KJ

Question 1

Respondent's Name and/or Organisation Friends of Woodbury Park Cemetery

Question 3a

Please state which paragraph number(s), Policy Number, or Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.

Section 7: Delivery and Monitoring

[TWBC: see also whole response on the whole Plan (PSLP_1549) and separated comments on the following: Policies STR5 (PSLP_1557), STR8 (PSLP_1558), EN4 (PSLP_1560), EN9 (PSLP_1561), EN10 (PSLP_1562), EN12 (PSLP_1563), EN26 (PSLP_1564), H11 (PSLP_1565), Section 7 (PSLP_1566) and Policy AL/RTW 4 (PSLP_1567)]

Question 4a

If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please answer this question.

Do you consider that the Local Plan is not sound because:

Question 5

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to cooperate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to cooperate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

12 We warmly welcome and strongly support as a context for urgent measures to reduce the threat of detriment to WPC the following strategic and policy sections of the Plan:

3, 7.17, 7.23 We strongly support the requirement to monitor the application of the Local Plan and urge this to give priority to historic assets like WPC and immediately tackle any unfavourable impacts.

Question 7

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Comments on Appendices

Comments on Appendices: Appendix 2 Schedule of designated Local Green Space sites within Tunbridge Wells borough

Comment

Consultee	John Windeatt

Email Address

Address

Hawkhurst

Event Name Pre-Submission Local Plan

Comment by John Windeatt

Comment ID PSLP_44

Response Date 13/04/21 08:04

Consultation Point Appendix 2: Schedule of designated Local Green

Space sites within Tunbridge Wells borough (View)

Status Processed

Submission Type Letter

Version 0.4

Data inputter to enter their initials here KJ

Question 1

Respondent's Name and/or Organisation John Windeatt

Question 3a

Please state which paragraph number(s), Policy Number, or Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.

Appendix 2: Schedule of designated Local Green Space sites within Tunbridge Wells borough

Question 4a

If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please answer this question.

Do you consider that the Local Plan is not sound because:

Question 5

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to cooperate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to cooperate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

[TWBC: the following comment has been duplicated from PSLP_37 on STR/HA 1 as it refers specifically to the Local Green Space Appendix 2]:

More generally, is there some reason why Tunbridge Wells Golf Club is listed in Appendix 2 - Schedule of designated local green space sites, but Hawkhurst Golf Club is not? What a positive move it would be to keep the golf club as a green space.

Question 7

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

For office use only

If responder hasn't ticked an option on this box, Not Stated data inputter to tick 'not stated' box.

Comments on Appendices: Appendix 4 Glossary

Supporting Information File Ref No: SI 156

Comment

Consultee Strategic Planning

Email Address

Company / Organisation Kent County Council (Planning and Environment)

Address Invicta House

County Hall MAIDSTONE ME14 1XX

Event Name Pre-Submission Local Plan

Comment by Kent County Council (Planning and Environment) (

Strategic Planning

Comment ID PSLP_2256

Response Date 04/06/21 16:56

Consultation Point Appendix 4: Glossary (View)

Status Processed

Submission Type Email

Version 0.4

Files Kent County Council-full representation.pdf

Data inputter to enter their initials here KJ

Question 1

Respondent's Name and/or Organisation Kent County Council (Growth, Environment &

Transport)

Question 3a

Please state which paragraph number(s), Policy Number, or Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.

Appendix 4: Glossary

[TWBC: see attached full representation, which has been input against the following: Section 1 (PSLP_2164), Section 2 (PSLP_2168), Section 3 (PSLP_2169), Policies STR1 (PSLP_2170), STR2 (PSLP_2171), STR4 (PSLP_2172), STR5 (PSLP_2174), STR7 (PSLP_2175), STR8 (PSLP_2176), Section 5 (PSLP_2177), Section 5: Royal Tunbridge Wells (PSLP_2178), Policies AL/RTW1 (PSLP_2180), AL/RTW5 (PSLP_2181), AL/RTW7 (PSLP_2183), AL/RTW14 (PSLP_2184), AL/RTW17 (PSLP_2185), AL/RTW21 (PSLP_2187), STR/SO1 (PSLP_2188), AL/SO1 (PSLP_2190), Strategic Sites (PSLP_2192), STR/SS1 (PSLP_2193), STR/SS2 (PSLP_2195), STR/SS3 (PSLP_2196), STR/PW1 (PSLP_2199), AL/PW1 (PSLP_2200), STR/CA1 (PSLP_2201), AL/CRS1 (PSLP_2202), AL/CRS2

(PSLP_2203), AL/CRS3 (PSLP_2204), AL/CRS4 (PSLP_2005), AL/CRS6 (PSLP_2206), AL/CRS7 (PSLP_2207), STR/HA1 (PSLP_2208), PSTR/BE1 (PSLP_2209), PSTR/BI1 (PSLP_2210), PSTR/BM1 (PSLP_2211), PSTR/FR1 (PSLP_2212), PSTR/GO1 (PSLP_2213), PSTR/HO1 (PSLP_2214), AL/HO1 (PSLP_2215), PSTR/LA1 (PSLP_2216), AL/LA1 (PSLP_2217), PSTR/PE1 (PSLP_2218), AL/PE4 (PSLP_2219), PSTR/RU1 (PSLP_2220), PSTR/SA1 (PSLP_2221), AL/SA1 (PSLP_2222), PSTR/SP1 (PSLP_2223), EN1 (PSLP_2224), EN3 (PSLP_2225), EN4 (PSLP_2226), EN5 (PSLP_2227), EN8 (PSLP_2228), EN9 (PSLP_2229), EN10 (PSLP_2230), EN12 (PSLP_2231), EN13 (PSLP_2232), EN14 (PSLP_2233), EN18 (PSLP_2234), EN19 (PSLP_2235), EN20 (PSLP_2236), EN25 (PSLP_2237), EN26 (PSLP_2238), H1 (PSLP_2239), H3 (PSLP_2240), H7 (PSLP_2241), ED1 (PSLP_2242), ED2 (PSLP_2243), ED3 (PSLP_2244), ED4 (PSLP_2245), ED5 (PSLP_2246), ED6 (PSLP_2247), Town, Rural Service, Neighbourhood, and Village Centres (PSLP_2248), Policies TP1 (PSLP_2249), TP2 (PSLP_2250), TP3 (PSLP_2251), TP4 (PSLP_2252), TP5 (PSLP_2253), TP6 (PSLP_2254), OSSR1 (PSLP_2255), Appendix 4 (PSLP_2256) and Evidence Base (whole Plan) (PSLP_2257)

Question 4a

If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please answer this question.

Do you consider that the Local Plan is not sound because:

Question 5

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to cooperate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to cooperate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The County Council has set out its full response to the consultation in the attached Appendix. Comments are linked to relevant policies where appropriate.

Public Rights of Way

The inclusion of a Public Right of Way definition is requested. For the avoidance of doubt, the abbreviation 'PRoW' should also be added to the text so that it reads:

Public Right of Way (PRoW) – A way over which the public have a right to pass and repass, including Public Footpaths, Public Bridleways, Restricted Byways and Byways Open to All Traffic.

Rights of Way Improvement Plan – the KCC statutory policy must be included.

Question 6

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at Question 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to cooperate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant

or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The County Council has set out its full response to the consultation in the attached Appendix. Comments are linked to relevant policies where appropriate.

Public Rights of Way

The inclusion of a Public Right of Way definition is requested. For the avoidance of doubt, the abbreviation 'PRoW' should also be added to the text so that it reads:

Public Right of Way (PRoW) – A way over which the public have a right to pass and repass, including Public Footpaths, Public Bridleways, Restricted Byways and Byways Open to All Traffic.

Rights of Way Improvement Plan – the KCC statutory policy must be included.

Question 7

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Question 7a

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

The County Council may wish to attend hearing sessions in respect of its statutory and non statutory functions.

Future Notifications

Please let us know if you would like us to use your details to notify you of any future stages of the Local Plan by ticking the relevant box:

Yes, I wish to be notified of future stages of the Local Plan
Plan

Comments on Appendices: Appendix 5: Policy H9 Gypsies and Travellers: Site Layout Plans

Comment

Complies with the Duty to Cooperate

Consultee	Joshua Boyle
Email Address	
Address	
Event Name	Pre-Submission Local Plan
Comment by	Joshua Boyle
Comment ID	PSLP_1010
Response Date	02/06/21 23:38
Consultation Point	Map 82 Greenfields Farm, Paddock Wood (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2
Question 1	
Respondent's Name and/or Organisation	Joshua Boyle
Question 3	
To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?	Policies Map
Question 3a	
Please state which paragraph number(s), Policy Number, or Policies Map (Inset Map number(s)) this representation relates to.	
Policy STR/SS 1 Strategy for Paddock Wood, including land at east Capel	
Map 82, Greenfields Farm, Paddock Wood	
Question 4	
Do you consider that the Local Plan:	
Is legally compliant	Yes
Is sound	Yes

Don't know

Question 4a

If you consider that the Local Plan is not sound, please answer this question.

Do you consider that the Local Plan is not sound because:

Question 5

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to cooperate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to cooperate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Potentially one of the only sensible suggestions in the Draft Local Plan is the creation of more local approved sites for the Gypsy, Roma and Traveller Communities.

Question 7

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

If your representation is seeking a modification to . Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

Question 7a

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

I have spent a lot of my time studying the GRT Community and wish to make TW a better and more welcoming area for a wider range of people.

Future Notifications

Please let us know if you would like us to use your No, I do not wish to be notified of future stages of details to notify you of any future stages of the Local Plan Plan by ticking the relevant box: