

**Do you consider the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) would make it:**

**Yes      No**

**Legally Compliant** Selected Not Selected

**Sound** Selected Not Selected

**Please give details of why you consider the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 - 2038)(as set out in the Development Strategy Topic Paper Addendum) are not legally compliant or are unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) (as set out in the Development Strategy Topic Paper Addendum) please also use this box to set out your comments.**

I am delighted to see that you have removed the allocation Tudeley Village STR/SS3 from your plan.

I suspect that you still intend to resurrect the Tudeley Village idea in some future plan. I urge you not to go there. All the objections raised in the earlier consultation are still valid . Indeed the traffic issue has got a lot worse as the overflow trsaffic from the A21 hits congestion in Tonbridge and now finds a way through Tudeley.

The reduction in the housing allocation for East Capel is welcome, but it is nowhere near enough to address the major objection of contravening the primary purposes of the green belt. - avoidance of coalesence and urban sprawl.

Already the extensive development in Paddock Wood has exhausted much the existing infrastructure. For example the doctors surgeries have closed their lists and there are no available spaces in the primary schools. Please get trhe infrastructure right before embarking on any further development in this area.

I disappointed not to have seen more emphasis on the development of brownfield sites.

It is also regrettable that you have not adopted some of the larger sites that are not in the green belt such as Blantyre House and the large block of land in Horsmonden.