

1) Please confirm the evidence base document this comment relates to.

Both flooding and hyways

To which part of the document listed in the question 1 above does this representation relate to?

2) Chapter and (if applicable) sub heading:

North west flooding

3) Paragraph number or appendix:

4) Do you consider the evidence base document on which you are commenting, makes the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) (please tick or cross as appropriate):

Yes No

Legally Compliant Not Selected Selected

Sound Not Selected Selected

5) Please give details of why you consider the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) is not legally compliant or unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan, please also use this box to set out your comments. The text box will automatically expand if necessary.

Attenuation ponds do not address the real issue as stated in my earlier remarks about the Gravely way stream and the Tudeley Brook Stream. These waters do not start in Paddock Wood they start from Matfield and Pembury and the report does not reflect the gravity of this situation nor does it address them in Matfield nor Pembury, it tries to address them in Paddock Wood, which is not addressing the issue. Paddock Wood can cope with its own rain fall and should not be made to address others problems at Paddock Wood.

2nd Both ends of the Badsell road have hot spots which by KCC own addition they cannot address at this moment. Dampers corner roundabout is to expensive and would need compulsory orders put on land to accommodate the new roundabout, that’s KCC own words.Badsell/ Maidstone road junction has a major issue of an under river flowing beneath the surface and a culvert so the straightening of this road cannot go ahead, again KCC said this in its report.

3rd where Paddock Wood finishes along Badsell road the new revised plan wishes to put right next to Paddock Wood Capels housing of 562 houses right in the middle of Badsell Road where road improvement are a must with two hotspots either end of this one road and which KCC have said they cannot do.

4th any housing that is built on the Badsell road will want to use PaddockWood facilities but any 106 money will not be attributed to Paddock Wood but rather Capel which will be unjust by any imagination

6) Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the Matter you have identified in question 5 above where this relates to legal compliance or soundness. You will need to say why this modification will

make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. The text box will automatically expand if necessary.

Both Hotspots along Badsell road need addressing first before housing. 2nd the flow of water from Matfield and Pembury need to be addressed first before anymore housing. Any housing within the Badsell road which obtains 106 money must come to Paddock Wood. The need for the Colts Hill bypass must also be addressed this time before anymore housing as it's been promised before and then been removed of any scheduling of highway improvements.

7) Please use this box for any other comments you wish to make. The text box will automatically expand if necessary.

It would appear that the only thing Paddock Wood will definitely get is housing, with only promises from other bodies that they will build road improvements or new doctors surgery or a new sewage works but we have heard all this before. The people of Paddock Wood deserve better they need infrastructure now not maybe get, as inspector you must realise that Paddock Wood has been badly treated over many years and it high time this was put to right.

8) If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination hearing session when it takes place? (please tick or cross as appropriate)

Yes, I wish to participate at the examination hearing session

9) If you wish to participate at the examination hearing when it takes place, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

So I can address the issues that may be brought up, plus I have a right to be heard