

Tunbridge Wells Borough Local Plan (2020 – 2038)

Representation Form

Please use a	separate	sheet	for	each
representatio	on			

$\mathbf{-}$	\sim	•
$\overline{}$	_	
	\sim	

(For official use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

Proposed Changes to the Tunbridge Wells Borough Local Plan (2020 -2038): Response to Examination Inspector's Initial Findings, Received November 2022 and Supporting Documents, including Sustainability Appraisal

Completed forms must be received at our offices by midnight Monday 26 February 2024

We encourage you to respond online using the consultation portal. Please note you do not have to sign in to respond via the portal: https://consult.tunbridgewells.gov.uk/kse/

Alternatively, you may email or scan forms to: LocalPlan@TunbridgeWells.gov.uk or send them by post to: Tunbridge Wells Borough Council, PLANNING POLICY, Town Hall, Royal Tunbridge Wells, TN1 1RS

Please note that representations must be attributable to named individuals or organisations. They will be available for public inspection and cannot be treated as confidential.

Please also note that all comments received will be available for the public to view and cannot be treated as confidential. Data will be processed and held in accordance with the Data Protection Act 2018 and the General Data Protection Regulations 2018.

	1. Personal Details	2. Agent Details (if applicable)
Title	Ms	
First Name	Margaret	
Last Name	Borland	
Job title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		

Address Line 3	
Address Line 4	
Postcode	
Telephone number	
Email address (where relevant)	

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION (Please use a separate sheet for each representation)

	e or anisation	Margaret Borland	d			
3.	To which part of the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) as set out in the Development Strategy Topic Paper Addendum?					
	pter and (if icable) sub ding	2. Green Belt				
Poli	су					
	graph number or endix	2.12				
4.	Do you consider the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan 4. Submission Version (2020 – 2038) would make it: (please tick as appropriate)			n		
4.1	Legally Complian	t Yes No				
4.2	Sound		Yes		No	
5 .	Borough Local F Development Str are unsound. Plan If you wish to su Changes to the F out in the Development out you	ils of why you co Plan Submission of rategy Topic Pape ease be as precis apport the legal co Borough Local Pl opment Strategy our comments.	Version (2 er Addend e as poss ompliance an Submi Topic Pap	2020 - 2038 dum) are n sible. e or sound ission Vers per Addenc	(as set out ot legally co ness of the l	in the mpliant or Proposed 2038) (as set
IN/A						

N/A	Changes to the Electric Incorporating the Topic Paper Add Matter you have compliance or so You will need to to the Borough L compliant or so suggested revise possible.	say why this modification will make the Proposed Changes ocal Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) legally and. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your ed wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as			
N/A	I ne text box will	automatically expand if necessary.			
	Please use this b	oox for any other comments you wish to make.			
7.	The text box will automatically expand if necessary.				
	N/A				
8.		ration is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary the examination hearings stage when it resumes?			
	□ No , I do not wish to participate at the examination hearings				
	Yes, I wish to participate at the examination hearings				
9.	9. If you wish to participate at the examination hearings stage once it resumes, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:				
N/A					
Sust	ainability Apprais	al			
10.	To which part of	the Sustainability Appraisal does this representation relate?			
_	oter and (if icable) sub ling	Chapter 4 – 4.2 Green Belt Stdies			

Policy	N/A
Paragraph number or appendix	Table 6 – Reasonable alternative sites in Southborough

11.

Please use this box for any comments you wish to make about the Sustainability Appraisal.

The text box will automatically expand if necessary

This comment is purely to request a factual correction to PS_037 Sustainability Appraisal Addendum for site 445 (Mabledon and Nightingale) and does not require any changes to policy.

Table 6 states that the Gren Belt harm classification has been revised from Very High at Stage 2 to High at Stage 3. However, PS-35 Green Belt Stage 3 Addendum report is clear that the vast majority of the site (RA/SO 1B – 142.60ha) continues to be classified as <u>Very High</u> and only a very small portion (RA/SO 1A – 4.72ha) has been revised from Very High to High at Stage 3, as part of the assessment of "reasonable alternatives". While this has no impact on the current proposed Development Strategy it may have ramifications for future plan reviews where additional housing development sites are sought. Please could a minor modification be made to Table 6 to confirm that the score revision from Very High to High has been made for a small part of the site only.

I also note that Paragraph 2.12. of PS_054 Development Strategy Topic Paper Addendum suggests that sites in both AONB and Green Belt are <u>not</u> included in the list of "reasonable alternatives" and therefore question why this large site, which I believe is wholly within the AONB (See 3.96d LVIA Section 6.5 – Southborough sites) has been included in the Stage 3 review.

Signature	Margaret Borland	Date	26/02/24
-----------	------------------	------	----------

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION (Please use a separate sheet for each representation)

Nam Orga	ne or anisation	Margaret Borland	I			
3.		the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan sion (2020 – 2038) as set out in the Development Strategy lendum?				
	pter and (if icable) sub ding	3.0 Review of the proposal for a new garden village at Tudeley				
Poli	су					
	igraph number or endix	3.14-3.37				
4.	Do you consider the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan 4. Submission Version (2020 – 2038) would make it: (please tick as appropriate)			1		
4.1	Legally Complian	t Yes 🗌 No				
4.2	Sound	Yes No				
5.	Borough Local F Development Str are unsound. Ple If you wish to su Changes to the F out in the Development Str box to set out you	ils of why you co Plan Submission Y rategy Topic Pape ease be as precis poport the legal co Borough Local Pl opment Strategy our comments.	Version (2 er Addend e as poss ompliance an Submi Topic Pap	2020 - 2038 dum) are n sible. e or sound ission Vers per Addenc	B) (as set out ot legally co ness of the F sion (2020 – 2	in the mpliant or Proposed 2038) (as set
N/A						

Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) Incorporating the Proposed Changes set out in the Development Strategy Topic Paper Addendum, legally compliant or sound, having regard to the Matter you have identified at Section 5 (above) where this relates to legal compliance or soundness.

6.

You will need to say why this modification will make the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The text box will automatically expand if necessary.

N/A

7.

Please use this box for any other comments you wish to make.

The text box will automatically expand if necessary.

Issue Area A: Location and Accessibility

I support the removal of Tudeley Village from the Local Plan. I consider that the RAG ratings given in PS-039 RAG Assessment – Access and Movement do not adequately reflect the practical limitations of the proposal and therefore RAG ratings are generally too optimistic that the issues identified by the inspector can be overcome and should not be used in future Plan reviews. My concerns are set out below.

- a) Potential for walking and cycling links (para 3.14-3.17)
- I am pleased that the Council have now accepted the point made at the Hearings in 2021 that walking to Tonbridge would be impractical, with a focus now on "walking routes primarily within the new community". Unfortunately no assessment is made of this location constraint.
- The cycle link being on proposer's controlled land was only applicable to a small Part of the link to destinations within Tonbridge urban area – The High Street, the Railway Stations and the popular selective schools, state grammar and independent. It appears that the majority of the route is either a PROW or urban streets and busy A-roads where there are currently no dedicated cycleways.
- While a 15minute cycle ride to work in Tonbridge is feasible, commuters making onward journeys by train for work in London or even Royal Tunbridge Wells, would have found commuting in wet weather cycling clothes both uncomfortable and unpopular with fellow travellers.
- The lack of any proposed cycle access to the Royal Tunbridge Wells (RTW) Key
 Employment Areas and Retail/Leisure sites would also have been detrimental to the
 sustainability of the site, no active travel options available for those wishing to work or
 spend leisure time in RTW.

	 b) Rail and bus infrastructure (para 3.18 – 3.20) Network Rail have clear parameters for volume of passengers required for a new railway station plus over recent years have been actively seeking to reduce stops at existing stations with a low volume of passengers in order to deliver a faster, more reliable service to the high-volume stations. The severe impact on the sustainability of Tudeley Village due to the lack of a railway station was always going to be an issue. It is unclear whether the costings for upgrading the 205 bus service included extending the service into the late evening to meet the needs of commuters and of residents who wished to go to the theatre or for dinner in Tonbridge or London. There were no proposals for direct bus services from Tudeley to Tunbridge Wells or to the Tunbridge Wells Hospital on the outskirts of Pembury. d) Congestion in Tonbridge Town Centre (para 3.31-3.33) and e) Modal shift (para 3.34 -3.37) In the light of the above comments, I question the validity of the assertion in para 3.32 that that Tudeley Village would be "expected to facilitate a reduction in the need to travel by private car", as Tudeley Village would have had minimal sustainable transport options and be in a location that could not be made sustainable (see comments above) Even if the projected modal shift were achieved, when coupled with the proposed scale of growth, it is surely more likely that extensive private car usage would inevitably exacerbate the existing congestion in Tonbridge Town Centre.
8.	If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination hearings stage when it resumes?
	No, I do not wish to participate at the examination hearings
Ш	Yes, I wish to participate at the examination hearings
9.	If you wish to participate at the examination hearings stage once it resumes, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:
N/A	
Susta	ainability Appraisal
10.	To which part of the Sustainability Appraisal does this representation relate?

Chapter and (if applicable) sub heading	N/A
Policy	
Paragraph number or appendix	

11.	Please use this box for any comments you wish to make about the Sustainability Appraisal. The text box will automatically expand if necessary
N/A	

Signature	Margaret Borland	Date	26/02/24
-----------	------------------	------	----------

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION (Please use a separate sheet for each representation)

	Name or Margaret Borland Organisation					
3.	· ·	f the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan rsion (2020 – 2038) as set out in the Development Strategy dendum?				
	pter and (if icable) sub ding	11.0 Housing nee	ed and sup	oply		
Poli	су					
	ngraph number or endix	11.21 Table 3				
4.	Do you consider the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) would make it: (please tick as appropriate)			n		
4.1	Legally Complian	t	Yes	\boxtimes	No	
4.2	Sound		Yes	\boxtimes	No	
5.	Please give details of why you consider the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 - 2038) (as set out in the Development Strategy Topic Paper Addendum) are not legally compliant or are unsound. Please be as precise as possible. 5. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) (as set out in the Development Strategy Topic Paper Addendum) please also use this box to set out your comments. The text box will automatically expand if necessary.					
curre	I support the reductions in Housing need 2020-2038 set out in Table 3, recognising thrt currently the Council have little option other than to follow the Government's Standard Methodology for these calculations					

6.	Changes to the Electric Paper Add Matter you have compliance or so You will need to to the Borough L compliant or so suggested revise possible.	hat modification(s) you consider necessary to the Proposed Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) are Proposed Changes set out in the Development Strategy lendum, legally compliant or sound, having regard to the identified at Section 5 (above) where this relates to legal boundness. say why this modification will make the Proposed Changes Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) legally and. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your ed wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as automatically expand if necessary.		
N/A	THE LEAR BOX WIII	automatically expand it necessary.		
_	Please use this b	oox for any other comments you wish to make.		
7.	The text box will	automatically expand if necessary.		
	N/A			
8.		ation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary the examination hearings stage when it resumes?		
	No, I do not wish t	o participate at the examination hearings		
	Yes, I wish to part	icipate at the examination hearings		
9.		rticipate at the examination hearings stage once it resumes, hy you consider this to be necessary:		
N/A				
Sust	ustainability Appraisal			
10.	To which part of	the Sustainability Appraisal does this representation relate?		
appli	Chapter and (if applicable) sub N/A heading			

Policy	
Paragraph number or appendix	

Please use this box for any comments you wish to make about the Sustainability Appraisal.

The text box will automatically expand if necessary

N/A

Signature	Margaret Borland	Date	26/02/24
-----------	------------------	------	----------

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION (Please use a separate sheet for each representation)

Name or Organisation	Margaret Borland
-------------------------	------------------

3.	To which part of the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) as set out in the Development Strategy Topic Paper Addendum?		
Chapter and (if applicable) sub heading		12.0 Conclusions on preferred development strategy option	
Polic	у	Amendments to Policies STR/SS1 and STR/SS3	
Parag appe	graph number or ndix	12.1- 12.7	

4.	Do you consider the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) would make it: (please tick as appropriate)				
4.1	Legally Compliant	Yes	\boxtimes	No	
4.2	Sound	Yes	\boxtimes	No	

Please give details of why you consider the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 - 2038) (as set out in the Development Strategy Topic Paper Addendum) are not legally compliant or are unsound. Please be as precise as possible.

5. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) (as set out in the Development Strategy Topic Paper Addendum) please also use this box to set out your comments.

The text box will automatically expand if necessary.

I support the Council's preferred Development Strategy, as outlined in Chapter 12 of the report in particular

- the reduction in the overall level of housing in Paddock Wood and East Capel to avoid building homes within higher flood zones (STR/SS1).
- the removal of the Tudeley Village development (STR/SS3). My support is based primarily on the level of harm to the Green Belt that this would have caused coupled with the poor sustainability of the proposed new settlement.
- pursuing the Local Plan on the basis that it is only meeting housing needs for the next 10 years and will need to be subject to an early review.

6.	Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) Incorporating the Proposed Changes set out in the Development Strategy Topic Paper Addendum, legally compliant or sound, having regard to the Matter you have identified at Section 5 (above) where this relates to legal compliance or soundness. You will need to say why this modification will make the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. The text box will automatically expand if necessary.
N/A	
7	Please use this box for any other comments you wish to make.
7.	The text box will automatically expand if necessary.
	N/A
8.	If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination hearings stage when it resumes?
	No, I do not wish to participate at the examination hearings
	Yes, I wish to participate at the examination hearings
9.	If you wish to participate at the examination hearings stage once it resumes, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:
N/A	
Susta	ainability Appraisal
10.	To which part of the Sustainability Appraisal does this representation relate?

Chapter and (if applicable) sub heading	N/A
Policy	
Paragraph number or appendix	

11.	Please use this box for any comments you wish to make about the Sustainability Appraisal. The text box will automatically expand if necessary
N/A	

Signature	Margaret Borland	Date	26/02/24
-----------	------------------	------	----------

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION (Please use a separate sheet for each representation)

Name or Organisation		Margaret Borland					
3.		the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan sion (2020 – 2038) as set out in the Development Strategy lendum?					
Chapter and (if applicable) sub heading		14.0 Commitment to early review					
Poli	су						
Paragraph number or appendix							
4.	Do you consider the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) would make it: (please tick as appropriate)						
4.1	Legally Compliant		Yes	\boxtimes	No		
4.2	Sound		Yes	\boxtimes	No		
5.	Please give details of why you consider the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 - 2038) (as set out in the Development Strategy Topic Paper Addendum) are not legally compliant or are unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Proposed Changes to the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) (as set out in the Development Strategy Topic Paper Addendum) please also use this box to set out your comments. The text box will automatically expand if necessary.						
I support the commitment to an early review of the Plan and the outline scope of the review suggested in this chapter (i.e not solely focussing on meeting housing need)							

Sustainability Appraisal					
N/A					
9.	If you wish to participate at the examination hearings stage once it resumes, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:				
	Yes, I wish to participate at the examination hearings				
	No, I do not wish to participate at the examination hearings				
8.	If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the examination hearings stage when it resumes?				
	N/A	automatically expand if necessary.			
Please use this box for any other comments you w					
N/A					
	compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. The text box will automatically expand if necessary.				
N/A	You will need to to the Borough I	say why this modification will make the Proposed Changes Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) legally			
	Changes to the B Incorporating the Topic Paper Add	hat modification(s) you consider necessary to the Proposed Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) e Proposed Changes set out in the Development Strategy lendum, legally compliant or sound, having regard to the identified at Section 5 (above) where this relates to legal oundness.			

Policy	
Paragraph number or appendix	

Please use this box for any comments you wish to make about the Sustainability Appraisal.

The text box will automatically expand if necessary

N/A

Signature	Margaret Borland	Date	26/02/24
-----------	------------------	------	----------