

From: [redacted]
To: [redacted]
Subject: 178 Borough Local Plan - Representation
Date: 01 May 2025 09:50:45

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Sirs

Please accept my attached representation on the Local Plan's Main Modifications as a local resident.

I thought I sent this yesterday but had to as I fell ill.

Kind regards

Damian Jones

1. Personal Details		2. Agent Details (if applicable)	
Title	Mr		
First Name	DAMIAN		
Last Name	JONES		
Job title (where relevant)			

1

3.1	Legally Compliant	Yes	No
3.2	Sound	Yes	No

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification/document not to be legally compliant or sound. Please be as precise as possible and provide evidence to support this.

Or
If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of a main modification/document, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The text box will automatically expand if necessary.

PE4A: MM159 and MM158 seem to magically remove obligations under green belt and AONB and also the changes do not serve to retain tree coverage and planting along the boundaries with the creation of a new site entrance that will destroy a Bat migration corridor as well as overboard a junction outside Pembury school with ~50 extra cars per morning during school gridlock and increases the risk to children at this junction.

Organisation (where relevant)	
Address Line 1	
Address Line 2	
Address Line 3	
Postcode	
Telephone number	
Email address (where relevant)	

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION
(Please use a separate form/sheet for each representation)

1.	Name of the Document to which this representation relates (please tick):
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sustainability Appraisal (Part 2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Habitats Regulations Assessment (Part 2)
	Schedule of proposed Map Changes (Policies Map/Inset Maps)

2.	To which part of the document listed in Box 1 above does this representation relate to?
	MM159 and possibly 158/7
	AL/PE4A (and PE3)

3.	Do you consider the Main Modification / document on which you are commenting, makes the Borough Local Plan Submission Version (2020 – 2038) (please tick or cross as appropriate):
----	--

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification.

7.	Please tick this box if you wish to be kept informed about the Inspector's Report and/or adoption of the Local Plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----	---	-------------------------------------

Signature	[Redacted]	Date	29/4/25
-----------	------------	------	---------

Thank you for taking the time to complete this form.

Closing date for responses: midnight on Wednesday 30th April 2025

If you do not agree with the proposed Main Modification/document, please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the Matter you have identified at Section 4 (above) where this relates to legal compliance or soundness.

Please be as precise as possible.

The text box will automatically expand if necessary.

Access should NOT be via Church Road, but via Maidstone Rd where the junction is not already busy or increase the risk to children, wildlife (BATS) and to the gridlock of Pembury. This would also then serve to retain trees/planting along the boundary by not creating a new junction unnecessarily and causing complete gridlock outside Pembury School.

Please use this box for any other comments you wish to make.

The text box will automatically expand if necessary.

PE4A: Please note that at the NW corner of this site is a geologically significant outcrop of the Tys formation and therefor a steep drop. Also deer are known to move through this site. Why has no provision to expansion of Hospice in the ward be made!
PE3: (MM157) - Shirely liaison with Southern Water re capacity is needed for this site given its position on a hill!! They may not have adequate water pressure...